

HILBERT SERIES AND BEREZIN-GELFAND DUALITY

Vadim Schechtman

Introduction

I.1. In their great work on spherical functions [BG] Berezin and Gelfand wrote:

"... there exists a deep duality between the function ... giving the law of multiplication in the center of the [infinitesimal] group ring [of a semisimple Lie group] and the function ... giving multiplication of representations.

... an analogous duality exists between matrix elements of ... an irreducible representation of the group $SU(2)$... and the so-called "Clebsch-Gordan coefficients"...

Another example of such a duality are the formulas of Gelfand-Tsetlin for matrix elements of irreducible representations of the algebra of complex matrices with trace 0 and the formulas for coordinates in the group of unitary matrices... In all of these cases the duality consists in the fact that functions of discrete arguments satisfy finite difference equations analogous to differential equations satisfied by functions of real variables that correspond to them."

The second of the above examples may be expressed by saying that we have a duality between the classical orthogonal polynomials (Jacobi etc.) and their discrete analogues (Hahn etc.). (In fact, all of the above examples admit a similar reformulation.)

The main purpose of the present note is to propose an example illustrating that exactly this type of dual polynomials appears in certain Hilbert series. Namely, let us say that two polynomials $Q(s)$ and $P(t)$ of the same degree d and such that $Q(0) = P(0) = 1$, $P(1) \neq 0$, are *Euler dual* if there is an equality of formal power series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(n)t^n = \frac{P(t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}} \quad (I1)$$

(we will see shortly that the equality of degrees is equivalent to $Q(-1) \neq 0$).

Here is an example of an Euler dual pair, which is the main observation of this note.

Theorem 1. For each integer $m \geq 0$ we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_m(n)t^n = \frac{P_m(t)}{(1-t)^{m+1}} \quad (I2)$$

where

$$P_m(t) = (m+1)^{-1}(t-1)^m P_m^{(1,1)}((t+1)/(t-1))$$

and

$$Q_m(s) = [(m+1)!]^{-1} h_m^{(1,1)}(s-1, -2)$$

Here $P_m^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ denote the *Jacobi polynomials* and $h_m^{(\alpha,\beta)}(s, N)$ denote the *Hahn polynomials* which are the discrete analogues of $P_m^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$ (their definitions are recalled below).

We have $\deg Q_m = \deg P_m = m$; $P_m(0) = Q_m(0) = 1$.

These polynomials satisfy the following properties: (a) All coefficients of $P_m(t)$ are positive integers, $P_m(1/t) = t^{-m}P_m(t)$, and all its roots are situated on the real half-line $-\infty < t < 0$. (b) If n is an integer then $Q_m(n)$ is an integer, $Q_m(-1-s) = (-1)^m Q_m(s)$, and all its roots lie on the vertical line $\Re s = -1/2$. (Formally, the polynomials $Q_m(s)$ may be interpreted as spherical functions on a (non-existent) homogeneous space $(\Sigma_{-2} \times \Sigma_{-2})/\Sigma_{-2}$ where Σ_N denotes the symmetric group on N letters, cf. [D].)

The geometric meaning of the Hilbert series (I2) is as follows. Consider the Grassmanian $X_m = Gr(2, m+3) \subset \mathbb{P}^{d_m}$ of two-dimensional planes in $\mathbb{C}^{m+3}$ embedded by Plücker into the projective space, $d_m = (m+3)(m+2)/2$. Let $Y_m = X_m \cap L \subset L \cong \mathbb{P}^{d_m-1}$ be its section by a generic linear subspace $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{d_m}$ of codimension $m+2$.

Theorem 2. The series (I1) is the Hilbert series of the embedding $i_m : Y_m \subset L$, i.e. $Q_m(n) = \dim H^0(Y_m, i_m^* \mathcal{O}_L(n))$, $m, n \geq 0$.

As a consequence we get another proof of an elegant result due to David Beckwith [B] (cf. also [BK]). In fact this remarkable paper was the starting point of the present note. Our Theorem 2 is the discrete, or Gelfand dual counterpart of Beckwith's theorem. As a second consequence, we get another proof of [Bran], Corollary 7.2 for the series A_m .

The above relation between *Euler duality* and *Gelfand duality* is the first idea of this note.

I.2. The other idea which we wanted to discuss is analogy of Euler duality to *Mellin transform*. It can be immediately seen already on the formal level:

Mellin transform:

$$Mf(s) = \int_0^\infty f(x)x^{s-1}dx = \phi(s)$$

Inverse:

$$M^{-1}\phi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \phi(s)x^{-s}ds = f(s)$$

We see that M^{-1} resembles a continuous analogue to generating function (i.e. to Euler transform), whereas M resembles taking coefficients of a power series, i.e. to inverse Euler:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(s) &= \int_0^\infty f(x)x^{s-1}dx & h(n) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint F(t)t^{-n-1}dt \\ f(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \phi(s)x^{-s}ds & F(t) &= \sum_{n=0}^\infty h(n)t^n \end{aligned}$$

We see similarities and differences.

Certainly this analogy is behind the scene in [RV]. We review in the first section the main features of this analogy (in particular, the analogue of Hecke lemma, which in this case is a theorem of Popoviciu - Ehrhart - Stanley).

In the last section we introduce some polynomials generalising the above ones. They are enumerated by pairs (λ, ϵ) where λ is a Young diagram and $\epsilon = \pm$; we discuss their properties and make some conjectures about them.

The useful discussions with V.Hinich, V.Gorbounov and F.Hirzebruch are gratefully acknowledged.

This work was finished during the author's stay at Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn, in August 2009.

§0. Jacobi and Hahn polynomials (recollections)

0.1. Finite differences. $(a)_n = a(a+1) \cdot (a+n-1) = \Gamma(a+n)/\Gamma(a)$

$$\Delta f(x) = f(x+1) - f(x); \nabla f(x) = f(x) - f(x-1)$$

$$\Delta(fg)(x) = \Delta f(x) \cdot g(x+1) + f(x)\Delta g(x)$$

0.2. Hypergeometric function.

$${}_pF_q(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(a_1)_n \dots (a_p)_n}{(b_1)_n \dots (b_q)_n} \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

Gauss hypergeometric function $F := {}_2F_1$

0.3. *Jacobi polynomials* (cf. [BE], 10.8, [NU]) $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, are polynomials orthogonal on $[-1, 1]$ with respect to the scalar product

$$(f, g) = \int_{-1}^1 f(x)g(x)(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta dx$$

They satisfy the differential equation

$$(1-x^2)y'' + (-(\alpha + \beta + 2)x + \beta - \alpha)y' + (-n^2 + n(\alpha + \beta + 1))y = 0 \quad (0.3.0)$$

They can be defined by the *Rodrigues formula*

$$P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n n! (1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} [(1-x)^{\alpha+n} (1+x)^{\beta+n}] \quad (0.3.1)$$

We have:

$$P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+\alpha}{k} \binom{n+\beta}{n-k} (x-1)^{n-k} (x+1)^k \quad (0.3.2)$$

$$P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \binom{n+\alpha}{n} F(-n, n+\alpha+\beta+1; \alpha+1; (1-x)/2) \quad (0.3.3)$$

$$P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x) = (-1)^n P_n^{(\beta, \alpha)}(x) \quad (0.3.4)$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \frac{n+\alpha+\beta+1}{2} P_{n-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(x) \quad (0.3.5)$$

Particular cases. Gegenbauer (ultraspherical) polynomials:

$$C_n^\lambda(x) = P_n^{(\lambda-1/2, \lambda-1/2)}(x)$$

Legendre polynomials: $P_n(x) = P_n^{(0,0)}(x) = C_n^{-1/2}(x)$

Generating function:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(x)y^n = (1-2xy+y^2)^{-1/2} \quad (0.3.6)$$

Derivation:

$$(x^2-1)P'_n(x) = n[xP_n(x) - P_{n-1}(x)] \quad (0.3.7)$$

Hahn polynomials

0.4. Let us recall first some classical definitions and formulas related to orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable, cf. [H], [WE], [NSU].

We consider polynomial solutions $f(x)$ of a finite difference equation

$$\sigma(x)\Delta\nabla f(x) + \tau(x)\Delta f(x) + \lambda f(x) = 0 \quad (0.4.1)$$

where $\sigma(x), \tau(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, $\deg \sigma(x) \leq 2$, $\deg \tau(x) \leq 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Given $\sigma(x)$, $\tau(x)$ as above, let $\rho(x)$ be function (not necessarily polynomial!) satisfying to the difference equation

$$\nabla(\sigma\rho) = \tau\rho \quad (0.4.2)$$

Set

$$\lambda_n = -n\tau' - \frac{n(n-1)}{2}\sigma'', \quad (0.4.3)$$

$n = 0, 1, \dots$. Then

$$f_n(x) = \frac{B_n}{\rho(x)} \nabla^n [\rho(x+n) \prod_{k=1}^n \sigma(x+k)] = \frac{B_n}{\rho(x)} \Delta^n [\rho(x) \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \sigma(x-k)] \quad (0.4.4)$$

is a polynomial of degree $\leq n$ satisfying (0.4.1) with $\lambda = \lambda_n$. The number B_n is a normalising constant: if we want that $f_n(x) = x^n + O(x^{n-1})$ then

$$B_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\tau' + \frac{n+k+1}{2} \sigma'' \right)^{-1}$$

(so if we want the existence of a solution of degree n , the factors in the product should be $\neq 0$; recall that $\deg \tau \leq 1$ and $\deg \sigma \leq 2$, so τ' and σ'' are constants). Formula (0.4.4) is the finite difference analogue of the Rodrigues formula.

0.5. More specifically, let α, β, N be 3 numbers. Set

$$\sigma(x, \alpha, \beta, N) = x(N + \alpha - x) \quad (0.5.1a)$$

$$\tau(x, \alpha, \beta, N) = -(2 + \alpha + \beta)x + (N - 1)(\beta + 1) \quad (0.5.1b)$$

$$\rho(x, \alpha, \beta, N) = \frac{\Gamma(N + \alpha - x)\Gamma(x + \beta + 1)}{\Gamma(x + 1)\Gamma(N - x)} \quad (0.5.1c)$$

and $B_n = (-1)^n/n!$. Then the polynomials given by (0.4.4) are called *the Hahn polynomials*¹ and denoted by $h_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x, N)$.

Explicitly,

$$h_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(n, N) = \frac{(-1)^m (N-1)! (\beta+1)_m}{m! (N-m-1)!} {}_3F_2(-m, \alpha + \beta + m + 1, -n; \beta + 1, 1 - N; 1) \quad (0.5.2)$$

cf. [NSU], (75a). The first polynomials are:

$$h_0^{(\alpha, \beta)}(n, N) = 1; \quad h_1^{(\alpha, \beta)}(n, N) = (2 + \alpha + \beta)x - (N - 1)(\beta + 1) \quad (0.5.2b)$$

cf. (0.5.1b).

¹Wolfgang Hahn (1911 - 1998), a student of Issai Schur, PhD 1933.

If N is a positive integer then the h_m 's satisfy the orthogonality relation

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} h_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(n, N) h_{m'}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(n, N) \rho(n, \alpha, \beta, N) = d_m^2(\alpha, \beta, N) \delta_{m, m'} \quad (0.5.3)$$

for suitable constants $d_m^2(\alpha, \beta, N)$.

Relation to Jacobi polynomials:

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N^{-m} h_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(Nx, N) = P_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(2x - 1) \quad (0.5.4)$$

cf. [NSU], (56).

0.6. We have

$$\Delta h_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(n, N) = (\alpha + \beta + m + 1) h_{m-1}^{(\alpha+1, \beta+1)}(n, N-1) \quad (0.6.1)$$

$$h_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(N-1-x, N) = (-1)^m h_m^{(\beta, \alpha)}(x, N) \quad (0.6.2)$$

§1. Euler transform and toy Hecke lemma

1.1. *Euler transform.* $Q(s) \in \mathbb{C}[s]$, $\deg Q = d$, $Q(0) = 1$ whence

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(n) t^n = \frac{P(t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}$$

where $P(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$, $\deg P = e \leq d$, $P(1) \neq 0$, $P(0) = 1$. Let us say that $P(t)$ is the *Euler transform* of $Q(s)$, and write $P(t) = EQ(t)$.

We will see that this operation is in many respects analogous to *inverse Mellin transform*.

Let us call $f(Q) = d - e$ the *defect* of Q .

For a natural a denote $h_a(s) = (s+1) \dots (s+a)$.

1.1.1. $f(Q) = \max\{a \mid h_a(s) \mid Q(s)\}$. In other words, $f(Q) = \max\{a \mid Q(-1) = Q(-2) = \dots = Q(-a) = 0\}$.

We define R by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R(n) t^n = \frac{P(t)}{(1-t)^{e+1}}$$

Then $\deg R = e$, $R(0) = 1$. We say that R is the *inverse Euler transform* of P and write $R(s) = \tilde{E}P(s)$.

$$(1-t) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S(n) t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\nabla} S(n) t^n$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}S(n) = S(n) - S(n-1)$ if $n > 0$ and $S(0)$ for $n = 0$. If $S(-1) = 0$ then $\tilde{\nabla}S(n) = \nabla S(n)$ for $n \geq 0$.

Here $\nabla f(x) = f(x) - f(x-1)$.

It follows:

1.1.2. $R = \nabla^{f(Q)}Q$

1.2. Set

$$F(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(n)t^n = \frac{P(t)}{(1-t)^{d+1}}$$

Let us consider $F(t)$ as a rational function on $\mathbb{C}$, so $F(t^{-1})$ is also a rational function, and we can consider its Taylor expansion at 0.

(”Taylor”, not Laurent, since $F(t)$ is regular at infinity, moreover, it has 0 of order $f(Q) + 1$ at ∞ .)

1.2.1. Theorem (Tiberiu Popoviciu, cf. [S], 4.6). $F(t^{-1}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q(-n)t^n$.

We see again that $f(Q) + 1 = \text{ord}_0 F(t^{-1}) = \text{ord}_{\infty} F(t)$.

Proof. First verify this for

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^{p+1}} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \binom{n+p}{p} t^n$$

The general case follows by Taylor expansion of Q at $t = 1$.

1.3. Another interpretation. For a polynomial $f(s)$ we have its discrete Taylor expansion at $x = -1$:

$$f(s) = \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \frac{\nabla^a f(-1)}{a!} h_a(s)$$

So we see that

$\max\{a : h_a(s) | f(s)\} = \min\{b : \nabla^b f(-1) \neq 0\}$; one can call this number the ”discrete order of zero” of f at $s = -1$; let us denote it $\text{ordd}_{s=-1} f(s)$.

Theorem of Popoviciu implies that the

$$\text{ordd}_{s=-1} Q(s) = \text{ord}_{\infty} F(t)$$

1.4. Corollary (”toy Hecke lemma”, cf. [S], 4.7). Let $\epsilon \pm 1$, $f = f(Q)$. Then

$$P(t^{-1}) = \epsilon t^{-e} P(t)$$

iff

$$Q(m) = \epsilon(-1)^d Q(-f-1-m)$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(Note that "in the critical strip" $Q(-1) = \dots = Q(-f) = 0$ by our hypothesis.)

Proof. $P(t^{-1}) = \epsilon t^{-e} P(t)$ iff $F(t^{-1}) = (-1)^{d+1} \epsilon t^{d+1-e} F(t) = \epsilon (-1)^{d+1} t^{f+1} F(t)$.
By Theorem,

$$F(t^{-1}) = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Q(-k) t^k = \epsilon (-1)^{d+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q(n) t^{n+f+1} = \epsilon (-1)^{d+1} \sum_{k=f+1}^{\infty} Q(k-f-1) t^k$$

so we get our assertion by putting $m = -k$.

§2. Three sets of polynomials

2.2. Let us define the following sequences of polynomials, indexed by natural numbers:

(a)

$$f_m(t) = \frac{1}{m+2} \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{m-k} \binom{2m+2-k}{m+1-k} t^k = \sum_{k=0}^m a_{km} t^k$$

For example:

$$f_0 = 1, \quad f_1 = 2 + t, \quad f_2 = 5 + 5t + t^2, \quad f_3 = 14 + 21t + 9t^2 + t^2, \dots$$

We set

$$\tilde{f}_m(t) = t^m f_m(t^{-1}) = \frac{1}{m+2} \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \binom{m+2+k}{1+k} t^k$$

These polynomials have been known since long ago, cf. [K].

(b)

$$g_m(t) = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m+1}{j+1} \binom{m+1}{j} t^j = \sum_{k=0}^m b_{km} t^k$$

For example:

$$g_0 = 1, \quad g_1 = 1 + t, \quad g_2 = 1 + 3t + t^2, \quad g_3 = 1 + 6t + 6t^2 + t^3, \dots$$

2.2. *Theorem* (a)

$$\tilde{f}_m(t) = F(-m, m+3; 2; -t) = \frac{1}{m+1} P_m^{(1,1)}(2t+1) \quad (2.2.1)$$

$$f_m(t) = \frac{t^m}{m+1} P_m^{(1,1)}\left(\frac{t+2}{t}\right) \quad (2.2.2)$$

(b)

$$g_m(t) = \frac{(t-1)^m}{m+1} P_m^{(1,1)}\left(\frac{t+1}{t-1}\right) \quad (2.2.3)$$

Proof. (a) The first equality in (2.2.1) follows from the definition; the second one — from (0.3.3). The equality (2.2.2) immediately follows.

(b) Take (0.3.2) with $x = (t + 1)/(t - 1)$ and $\alpha = \beta = 1$.

2.2.2. Corollary (cf. [B], formula (6) and Theorem). Let $P_{m+1}(x)$ denote the Legendre polynomial. Then

$$\tilde{f}_m(t) = \frac{2}{(m+1)(m+2)} P'_{m+1}(2t+1)$$

This is equivalent to (2.2.1): it suffices to take into account that

$$P'_{m+1}(x) = \frac{m+2}{2} P_m^{(1,1)}(x)$$

by (0.3.5).

Just for completeness we add

2.2.2. Corollary (D.Beckwith, [B]). The generating function

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_{n-3}(x) y^{n-1} \quad (2.2.4)$$

satisfies the differential equation with initial condition:

$$f'_x = f f'_y, \quad f(0, y) = y^2(1 - y)^{-1} \quad (2.2.5)$$

Proof (op. cit.) One verifies directly that if the function $\phi(x, y)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$\phi(1 - y - x\phi) = (y + x\phi)^2 \quad (2.2.6)$$

then it satisfies (2.2.5). Explicitly, the solution to (2.2.6) is

$$\phi(x, y) = \frac{2(1 - \rho y - \sqrt{1 - 2\rho y + y^2})}{\rho^2 - 1}$$

where $\rho = 2x + 1$. Now, using (0.3.6) and (0.3.7) one sees that

$$\phi(x, y) = \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{2P'_{n-2}}{(n-1)(n-2)} y^{n-1}$$

and now 2.2.1 implies that $\phi(x, y) = f(x, y)$.

2.3. Corollary. The polynomials $g_m(t)$ are *self-reciprocal*, $g_m(t^{-1}) = t^{-m} g_m(t)$.

This follows from (2.2.3) and (0.3.4). Of course one can see this immediately from the definition of $g_m(t)$.

2.4. Corollary (cf. [L], Theorem 3) $g_m(t) = f_m(t - 1)$

Proof. Replace t by $t - 1$ in (2.2.2) and you get (2.2.3). This also may be verified directly.

2.5. We define another sequence of polynomials:

$$h_m(n) := \frac{(n+1)(n+2)^2 \dots (n+m+1)^2(n+m+2)}{1 \cdot 2^2 \dots (m+1)^2 \cdot (m+2)}, \quad (2.5.1)$$

$m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ Note that $\deg h_m = 2m + 2$.

The following theorem is well known.

2.5.2. Theorem.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_m(n)t^n = \frac{g_m(t)}{(1-t)^{2m+3}} \quad (2.5.2)$$

Proof. The following elementary lemma is usefull (cf. [GW]):

2.5.2. Lemma. If $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \phi(n)t^n = \phi(t\partial_t) \left(\frac{1}{1-t} \right)$$

2.5.3. Lemma. (a)

$$\left(1 + t\partial_t \right) \left(1 + \frac{t\partial_t}{2} \right) \dots \left(1 + \frac{t\partial_t}{\ell} \right) \frac{1}{1-t} = \frac{1}{(1-t)^{\ell+1}}$$

(b)

$$\left(1 + \frac{t\partial_t}{2} \right) \dots \left(1 + \frac{t\partial_t}{i+1} \right) \frac{1}{(1-t)^{\ell+1}} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^i \frac{1}{\ell} \binom{i}{j} \binom{\ell}{j+1} t^j}{(1-t)^{\ell+i+1}}$$

Proof. Induction on ℓ , then on i .

To finish the proof of 2.6, we remark that $h_m = h_m^{(2)} h_m^{(1)}$ where

$$h_m^{(1)}(n) := \frac{(n+1)(n+2) \dots (n+m+1)(n+m+2)}{(m+2)!}$$

and

$$h_m^{(2)}(n) := \frac{(n+2) \dots (n+m+1)}{2 \dots (m+1)}$$

and use 2.5.3 with $\ell = m + 2$, $i = m + 1$.

§3. Hahn polynomials vs Hilbert polynomials

3.1. Note the following evident properties of the polynomials h_m :

$$\begin{aligned} h_m(n) &= 0 \text{ for } n = -1, -2, \dots, -m-2 \\ h_m(-m-3-n) &= h_m(n) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.1)$$

Let us define polynomials Q_m by the generating series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_m(n)t^n = \frac{g_m(t)}{(1-t)^{m+1}} \quad (3.1.2)$$

So we have $\deg Q_m = m$,

$$Q_m(n) = \nabla^{m+2} h_m(n) \quad (3.1.3)$$

Note that if $f(a-x) = cf(x)$ then $\nabla(a+1-x) = -c\nabla f(x)$. It follows that

$$Q_m(-1-n) = (-1)^m Q_m(n) \quad (3.1.4)$$

3.2. Theorem.

$$Q_m(n) = \frac{1}{(m+1)!} h_m^{(1,1)}(n-1, -2) \quad (3.2.1)$$

Proof. Let us set in the discussion 0.5 $\alpha = \beta = 0$, $N = -1$. Then we obtain:

$$\sigma(x) = -x(x+1), \quad \rho(x) = 1, \quad \tau(x) = \Delta\sigma(x) = -2x \quad (3.2.2)$$

It follows that

$$h_{m+1}^{(0,0)}(n, -1) = \frac{\nabla^{m+1}[(n+1)(n+2)^2 \dots (n+m+1)^2(n+m+2)]}{(m+1)!}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} Q_m(n) &= \frac{1}{(m+2)!} \nabla h_{m+1}^{(0,0)}(n, -1) = \frac{1}{(m+2)!} \Delta h_{m+1}^{(0,0)}(n-1, -1) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(m+1)!} h_m^{(1,1)}(n-1, -2) \end{aligned}$$

by (3.3.1).

This theorem should be compared with 2.2: note that $h_m^{(1,1)}$ are discrete analogues of $P_m^{(1,1)}$. In fact, the polynomials (3.2.1) were introduced (up to a constant multiple) already by Chebyshev, cf. [Ch].

Geometric meaning of h_m 's

3.3. The result below is a particular case of an old theorem due to Hirzebruch. Let $Gr(2, m+3)$ be the grassmanian of two-dimensional planes in $\mathbb{C}^{m+3}$. Consider the Plücker embedding

$$\iota_m : Gr(2, m+3) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^{m+3}) \cong \mathbb{P}^{d_m} \quad (3.3.1)$$

where $d_m := (m+3)(m+2)/2$.

Consider the coordinate algebra of ι_m : $A_m = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A_m^n$ where

$$A_m^n = H^0(Gr(2, m+3), \iota_m^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{d_m}}(n))$$

Let $H(A_m; t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \dim A_m^n \cdot t^n$ be its Hilbert series.

3.4. *Theorem*, cf. [Hir]. $\dim A_m^n = h_m(n)$

3.5. *Proof.* We follow [GW]. Set $\ell = m+2$. We have $Gr(2, \ell+1) = G/P$ where $G = SL(\ell+1)$ and P is the obvious parabolic. Let $\lambda = \varpi_2$ be the highest weight of the irreducible G -module $L(\lambda) = \Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}$. We can identify A_m^n with the irreducible G -module $L(n\lambda)$ of highest weight $n\lambda$.

Its dimension may be calculated using the Hermann Weyl character formula:

$$\dim A_m^n = \dim L(n\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha > 0} \left(1 + \frac{(\lambda|\alpha)}{(\rho|\alpha)} \cdot n \right)$$

the product over the positive roots of the system A_ℓ . In the notations of Bourbaki, [Bou], the positive roots are $\alpha_{ij} = \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq \ell+1$; the half-sum of the positive roots

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} (\ell - 2i) \epsilon_i$$

and

$$\varpi_2 = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - \frac{2}{\ell+1} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \ell+1} \epsilon_j$$

It follows easily that

$$\dim L(n\lambda) = \prod_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \left(1 + \frac{n}{j} \right) \cdot \prod_{j=2}^{\ell} \left(1 + \frac{n}{j} \right) = h_m(n)$$

3.6. As a corollary we conclude that all numbers $h_m(n)$ are integers for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ by (3.1.1).

Note that the coefficients of $g_m(t) = \sum_{j=0}^m b_{jm} t^j$ are by definition

$$b_{jm} = \frac{1}{m+1} \binom{m+1}{j+1} \binom{m+1}{j} = h_{j+1}(m-j)$$

(we see here a sort of reciprocity between j and m). In particular they are all integers.

4. Hill polynomials

4.1. A *hill* is a sequence of positive integers $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_\ell)$ such that

$$\mu_i = \mu_{\ell+1-i} \text{ and } \mu_{i-1} \leq \mu_i \text{ for } i \leq (\ell+1)/2.$$

$\ell =: w(\mu)$ is called the *width* of μ and $[(\ell+1)/2] =: h(\mu)$ is called the *height* of μ .

Another way of looking at hills is as follows. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m), 0 < \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_m, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, be a Young diagram. Define two hills

$$D_+\mu = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m, \lambda_m, \dots, \lambda_1), \quad D_-\mu = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{m-1}, \lambda_m, \lambda_{m-1}, \dots, \lambda_1)$$

(the "even" and "odd" doubles of λ). This way one gets a bijection between the set of hills and the set of pairs (λ, ϵ) where λ is a Young diagram and $\epsilon = \pm$.

Define polynomials

$$h_\mu(s) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (s+i)^{\mu_i}, \quad \tilde{h}_\mu(s) = h_\mu(s)/h_\mu(0)$$

and

$$Q_\mu(s) = \nabla^\ell \tilde{h}_\mu(s)$$

We have $Q_\mu(-1-s) = (-1)^\ell Q_\mu(s)$. These polynomials will be called *Hill polynomials*.

4.2. The following discussion is inspired by [RV] (cf. also [G], [M]). For an integer $a \geq 1$ let $\mathfrak{M}_a$ denote the set of hills of width a ; set $\mathfrak{M}_0 = \{\emptyset\}$.

Define an operator $\partial : \mathfrak{M}_a \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{a-1}$, $a \geq 1$, by

$$(\partial\mu)_i = \min(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1}), \quad 1 \leq i \leq a-1$$

if $a > 1$, and $\partial : \mathfrak{M}_1 \longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_0$ to be the unique map. Define the sets of corresponding polynomials $\Phi_a = \{h_\mu | \mu \in \mathfrak{M}_a\}$, $\Phi_0 = \{1\}$ and an operator $\partial : \Phi_a \longrightarrow \Phi_{a-1}$ by

$$\partial h_\mu = h_{\partial\mu} = \gcd(h_\mu(x), h_\mu(x-1))$$

For an arbitrary $\phi \in \mathfrak{M}_a$ we denote $\phi_+(x) := \phi(x)/\partial\phi(x)$ and $\phi_-(x) := \phi(x-1)/\partial\phi(x)$. We have

$$\phi_-(x) = \phi_+(a+1-x) \tag{4.2.1}$$

For any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ let R_a denote the set of polynomials $p(x)$ all whose roots lie on the line $\Re x = -(a+1)/2$.

The following Lemma generalises slightly the Lemma from [RV], no. 2.

4.3. Lemma. If $\phi \in \Phi_a$ and $p \in R_a$ then $\nabla(\phi \cdot p) = \partial\phi \cdot q$ where $q \in R_{a+1}$.

Proof goes along the same lines as in *loc. cit.* We have $\nabla(\phi p) = (\partial\phi)q$ where

$$q(x) = \phi_+(x)p(x) - \phi_-(x)p(x-1)$$

If $q(\beta) = 0$ then

$$\phi_+(\beta)p(\beta) = \phi_-(\beta)p(\beta-1) \quad (*)$$

Let $p(x) = c' \prod_j (x - \mu_j)$, $\phi_+(x) = c \prod_k (x - \nu_k)$, so $\phi_-(x) = c \prod_k (-x + a + 1 - \nu_k)$, cf. (4.2.1). For all j , $\Re \mu_j = -(a+1)/2$ and $\mu_j \mapsto \mu_j + 1$ establishes a bijection between the roots of $p(x)$ (counted with their multiplicities) and the roots of $p(x-1)$. Similarly, for all k $\nu_k < -a/2$ and $\nu_k \mapsto a+1-\nu_k$ establishes a bijection between the roots of $\phi_+(x)$ and the roots of $\phi_-(x)$.

In other words, all roots of $\phi_+(x)p(x)$ are situated in the right half-plane $\{|z| < -a/2$ and the reflection with respect to the line $\Re z = -a/2$ maps them bijectively to the roots of $\phi_-(x)p(x-1)$. It follows that if $\Re \gamma < -a/2$ (resp. $> -a/2$) then $|\phi_+(\gamma)p(\gamma)|$ is less than (resp. greater than) $|\phi_-(\gamma)p(\gamma-1)|$. Thus (*) implies $\Re \beta = -a/2$.

As an immediate corollary we get

4.4. Theorem. All roots of $Q_\mu(s)$ lie on the line $\Re(s) = -1/2$.

4.5. It is likely that $Q_\mu(s)$ satisfies a difference equation of order $h = h(\mu)$ of the form

$$\left(\sum_{i=0}^h p_i(s) \nabla^i \right) Q_\mu(s) = 0$$

where $p_i(s)$ is a polynomial of degree i .

Exercise. Prove this for hills of height 1.

4.6. Define *dual hill polynomials* $P_\mu(t)$ by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_\mu(t) t^n = \frac{P_\mu(t)}{(1-t)^{d_\mu+1}}$$

where $d_\mu = \deg Q_\mu = \deg h_\mu - \ell = \sum_i \mu_i - \ell = \deg P_\mu$.

We also have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{h}_\mu(t) t^n = \frac{P_\mu(t)}{(1-t)^{v_\mu+1}}$$

where $v_\mu := \sum_i \mu_i$.

We have

$$P_\mu(t^{-1}) = t^{-d_\mu} P_\mu(t)$$

4.7. Conjecture. All roots of $P_\mu(t)$ are simple and lie on the real half line $-\infty < t < 0$.

4.8. Example. Let μ_m denote the following hill of width $m+2$ and height 2: $\mu_m = (1, 2, 2, \dots, 2, 1)$; then evidently $Q_{\mu_m} = Q_m$ from (3.1.3), so we conclude that all roots of $Q_m(s)$ lie on the line $\Re s = -1/2$.

As a corollary of this and of Thm. 3.2, all roots of the Hahn polynomials $h_m^{(1,1)}(s, -2)$ lie on the line $\Re s = 1/2$.

The dual hill polynomials P_{μ_m} coincide with g_m (note that the coefficients of $f_m(t) = g_m(t+1)$ may be interpreted as numbers of some standard Yong tableaux, [S2]). Their roots are simple and belong to $\mathbb{R}_{<0}$ by Thm. 2.2 since the roots of Jacobi polynomials are simple and lie in the interval $-1 < t < 1$.

4.9. Example: Eulerian polynomials. A sort of "opposite" example is provided by the hills of width one: $\nu_k = (k+1)$, $k \geq 0$. In this case we have $h_{\nu_k}(s) = \tilde{h}_{\nu_k}(s) = (s+1)^{k+1}$, $Q_{\nu_k}(s) = (s+1)^{k+1} - s^{k+1}$. Let us denote for brevity Q_{ν_k} by ${}_kQ$. The roots of ${}_kQ(s)$ are:

$$s_k = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2} \cot\left(\frac{\pi \ell}{k+1}\right), \quad \ell = 1, \dots, k$$

After change of variable $s = -1/2 + ir$ the polynomials ${}_kQ$ will more or less coincide with the polynomials introduced by Euler in his proof of the product formula for $\sin x$ and $\cos x$, cf. [Eu] (a), [W], III, §XIX.

Passing to the duals

$$(1-t) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^{k+1} t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {}_kQ(n) t^n = \frac{P_{\nu_k}(t)}{(1-t)^{k+1}}$$

we see that $P_{\nu_k}(t)$ coincides with the Eulerian polynomial $P_{k+1}(t)$ discussed by Hirzebruch in [Hir2], cf. [Eu] (b). Conjecture 4.7 amounts to saying that all roots of $P_k(t)$ are real and simple. This is a well known fact.

References

- [BE] Higher transcendental functions, H.Bateman Manuscript Project, A.Erdélyi (Ed.), McGraw-Hill, 1953
- [B] D.Beckwith, Legendre polynomials and polygon dissections?, *The American Mathematical Monthly* **105** (1998), 256 - 257.
- [BG] F.Berezin, I.M.Gelfand, Some remarks on the theory of spherical functions on symmetric Riemannian manifolds, *Tr. Mosk. Mat. Ob-va*, **5** (1956), 311 - 351.
- [Bou] N.Bourbaki, Groupes et algèbres de Lie, Chap. VI. Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [Bran] P.Brändén, On linear transformations preserving the Pólya frequency property, arXiv:math/0403364.
- [BK] V.M.Buchstaber, E.V.Koritskaya, Quasilinear Burgers-Hopf equation and Stasheff polytopes, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **41** (2007), 34 - 47.
- [Ch] P.L.Chebyshev, Sur une nouvelle série, *Bull. Phys.-Math. de l'Acad. Imp. des Sciences de St. Pétersbourg*, XVII, 257 - 261 = Oeuvres, tome I, 381 - 384.
- [D] Ch.Dunkl, An addition theorem for Hahn polynomials: the spherical functions, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **9** (1978), 627 - 637.
- [Eu] L.Euler, (a) De summis serierum reciprocarum ex potestatibus numerorum naturalium ortarum Dissertatio altera in qua eadem summationes ex fonte maxime diverso derivantur, *Opera Omnia*, Ser. I, XIV, 138 - 155. (b) Remarques sur un beau rapport entre les séries des puissances tant direct que réciproques, *Ibid.*, XV, 70 - 90.
- [Gol] V.Golyshev, The canonical strip. I, math.AG/0903.2076.
- [GW] B.H.Gross, N.R.Wallach, On the Hilbert polynomials and Hilbert series of homogeneous projective varieties, Preprint.
- [H] W.Hahn, Über Orthogonalpolynome, die q -Differenzgleichungen genügen, *Math. Nachr.* **2** (1949), 4 - 34.
- [Hir] F.Hirzebruch, Characteristic numbers of homogeneous domains, *Semin. Analytic Functions* **2** (1958), 92 - 104.
- [Hir2] F.Hirzebruch, Eulerian polynomials, *Münster J. Math.* **1** (2008), 9 - 14.
- [K] T.P.Kirkman, On the k -partitions of the r -gon and r -ace, *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London* **147** (1857), 217 - 272.

- [L] C. W. Lee, The associahedron and triangulations of the n -gon, *Europ. J. Combinatorics* **10** (1989), 551 - 560.
- [Lev] R.J.Levitt, The zeros of Hahn polynomials, *SIAM Review* **9** (1967), 191 - 203.
- [M] L.Manivel, The canonical strip phenomenon for complete intersections in homogeneous spaces, arXiv:0904.2470.
- [NSU] A.F.Nikiforov, S.K.Suslov, V.B.Uvarov, Classical orthogonal polynomials of the discrete variable (Russian), Moscow, Nauka, 1985.
- [NU] A.F.Nikiforov, V.B.Uvarov, Special functions of mathematical physics, Birkhäuser, 1988.
- [RV] F.Rodrigues-Villegas, On the zeros of certain polynomials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130** (2002), 2251 - 2254.
- [S] R.Stanley, Hilbert functions of graded algebras, *Adv. Math.* **28** (1978), 57 - 83.
- [S2] R.Stanley, Polygon dissections and standard Young tableaux, *J. Combinatorial Theory*, Series A **76** (1996), 175 - 177.
- [WE] Maria Weber, A.Erdélyi, On the finite difference analogue of Rodrigues' formula, *The American Mathematical Monthly* **59** (1952), 163 - 168.
- [W] A.Weil, Number Theory. An approach through history, Birkhäuser, 1983.